

## Parking Order Amendment 17 – Consultation Objections

### Meeting – Thanet Joint Transportation Board – 7 June 2018

Report Author	Uniformed Civil Enforcement Manager
Portfolio Holder	Cllr Taylor-Smith
Status	<b><i>For consideration</i></b>
Classification:	<b><i>Unrestricted</i></b>
Key Decision	<b><i>No</i></b>
Reasons for Key	N/A
Ward:	Across the District - Various

#### **Executive Summary:**

The report presents the results of the statutory consultation for parking order amendment 17 objections that ran from 2 May to 29 May 2018.

#### **Recommendation(s):**

That advice is given on the objections raised through the public statutory consultation for parking order amendment 17 to the Council's Cabinet for further consideration.

#### **CORPORATE IMPLICATIONS**

<b>Financial and Value for Money</b>	Any financial implications are detailed in the main body of the report.
<b>Legal</b>	There are no legal implications arising from this report.
<b>Corporate</b>	The proposals are intended to improve traffic flow, congestion and access issues, which include inconsiderate parking. This fits in with the councils Priorities and Values.
<b>Equalities Act 2010 &amp; Public Sector Equality Duty</b>	<p>Members are reminded of the requirement, under the Public Sector Equality Duty (section 149 of the Equality Act 2010) to have due regard to the aims of the Duty at the time the decision is taken. The aims of the Duty are: (i) eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act, (ii) advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and (iii) foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.</p> <p>Protected characteristics: age, gender, disability, race, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, religion or belief and pregnancy &amp; maternity. Only aim (i) of the Duty applies to Marriage &amp; civil partnership.</p>

	Please indicate which aim is relevant to the report.	
	Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and other conduct prohibited by the Act,	
	Advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it	
	Foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.	
It is the author of the report's view that there are no direct Public Sector Equality Duty implications for this report, however the author will keep this under review		

<b>CORPORATE PRIORITIES (tick those relevant)✓</b>	
A clean and welcoming Environment	✓
Promoting inward investment and job creation	
Supporting neighbourhoods	✓

<b>CORPORATE VALUES (tick those relevant)✓</b>	
Delivering value for money	✓
Supporting the Workforce	
Promoting open communications	✓

## 1.0 Introduction and Background

- 1.1 Since 2005, the responsibility for parking matters in the Thanet District is split between Kent Highways and Transportation for requests relating to safety and Thanet District Council for amenity requests.
- 1.2 Making changes to Traffic Regulation Orders is a lengthy and costly process involving changes to legal documents and thorough public consultation. In order to optimise the handling of these changes, the requests are consolidated into a quarterly review. Objections that are received on traffic related matters during the public consultation will be brought back to the Joint Transportation Board for consideration and advice.
- 1.3 The public statutory consultation for parking order amendment 17 commenced on the 2 May 2018 and concluded on the 29 May 2018.
- 1.4 A total of 5,375 objections were received in relation to the following proposed schemes:
- The Parade, Minnis Bay 3,855 Objections
  - Cuthbert Road, St Mildred's Road, Station Road, Westgate 1,282 Objections
  - Cliff Street, Nelson Crescent scheme Ramsgate 27 Objections
  - Canterbury Road, Royal Crescent, Margate 68 Objections
  - Buckingham & Gladstone Road, Margate 143 Objections
- 1.5 The proposed parking schemes for Minnis Bay and Cuthbert Road, St Mildred's Road & Station Road, Westgate have been removed by the Council's Cabinet from the parking order amendment due to the volume of objections received and to allow more time for detailed analysis and review to be undertaken.

## 2.0 Scheme Objections

2.1 *Cliff Street, Nelson Crescent, Paragon, Prospect Place, Rose Hill, Sion Hill and Wellington Crescent.*

2.11 13 objections were received against the parking charges. 14 objections were received against the permits. 2 representations received were in favour of the proposed parking scheme. 11 representations were received asking for the scheme to be extended to include Liverpool Lawn, Hertford Street, Grundes Hill and Albert Street.

2.2 *Canterbury Road, Royal Crescent, Margate*

2.2.1 68 objections were received against the proposed charges. 1 representation was received in favour of the scheme.

2.3 *Buckingham & Gladstone Road, Margate*

2.3.1 143 objections were received about the double yellow line proposals at this location.

## 3.0 Next Steps

3.1 That the proposals in (Appendix 1) are discussed and advice is given by the Board for the Council's Cabinet to consider.

Contact Officer:	Rebecca Glaiser, Uniformed Services Enforcement Manager
Reporting to:	Trevor Kennett, Head of Operational Services

## Annex List

Annex 1	List of scheme details
---------	------------------------